

### § 658.503

corrected and the same or similar violations are not likely to occur in the future, or

(iv) Requests a hearing from the State agency pursuant to § 658.417.

(6) Where the decision is based on an employer's failure to accept qualified workers referred through the clearance system, the State agency shall specify the workers referred and not accepted. The employer shall be notified that all JS services will be terminated in 20 working days unless the employer within that time:

(i) Provides adequate evidence that the workers were accepted, or

(ii) Provides adequate evidence that the workers were not available to accept the job, or

(iii) Provides adequate evidence that the workers were not qualified, and

(iv) Provides adequate assurances that qualified workers referred in the future will be accepted; or

(v) Requests a hearing from the State agency pursuant to § 658.417.

(7) Where the decision is based on lack of cooperation in the conduct of field checks, the State agency shall specify the lack of cooperation, the employer shall be notified that all JS services will be terminated in 20 working days unless the employer within that time:

(i) Provides adequate evidence that he did cooperate, or

(ii) Cooperates immediately in the conduct of field checks, and

(iii) Provides assurances that he/she will cooperate in future field checks in further activity, or

(iv) Requests a hearing from the State agency pursuant to § 658.417.

(b) If the employer chooses to respond pursuant to this section by providing documentary evidence or assurances, he/she must at the same time request a hearing if such hearing is desired in the event that the State agency does not accept the documentary evidence or assurances as adequate.

(c) Where the decision is based on repeated initiation of procedures for discontinuation of services, the employer shall be notified that services have been terminated.

(d) If the employer makes a timely request for a hearing, in accordance with this section, the State agency

### 20 CFR Ch. V (4-1-05 Edition)

shall follow procedures set forth at § 658.417 and notify the complainant whenever the discontinuation of services is based on a complaint pursuant to § 658.501(a)(5).

#### § 658.503 Discontinuation of services.

(a) If the employer does not provide a satisfactory response in accordance with § 658.502, within 20 working days, or has not requested a hearing, the State agency shall immediately terminate services to the employer.

(b) If services are discontinued to an employer subject to Federal Contractor Job Listing Requirements, the State agency shall notify the ETA regional office immediately.

#### § 658.504 Reinstatement of services.

(a) Services may be reinstated to an employer after discontinuation under § 658.503, if:

(1) The State is ordered to do so by a Federal Administrative Law Judge or Regional Administrator, or

(2) (i) The employer provides adequate evidence that any policies, procedures or conditions responsible for the previous discontinuation of services have been corrected and that the same or similar difficulties are not likely to occur in the future, and

(ii) The employer provides adequate evidence that the employer has responded adequately to any findings of an enforcement agency, State JS agency, or USES, including restitution to the complainant and the payment of any fines, which were the basis of the discontinuation of services.

(b) The State agency shall notify, within 20 working days, the employer requesting reinstatement whether his request has been granted. If the State denies the request for reinstatement, the basis for the denial shall be specified and the employer shall be notified that he/she may request a hearing within 20 working days.

(c) If the employer makes a timely request for a hearing, the State agency shall follow the procedures set forth at § 658.417.

(d) The State agency shall reinstate services to an employer if ordered to do so by a State hearing officer, Regional Administrator, or Federal Administrative Law Judge as a result of a hearing

offered pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section.

### **Subpart G—Review and Assessment of State Agency Compliance With Job Service Regulations**

**AUTHORITY:** Wagner-Peyser Act of 1933, as amended, 29 U.S.C. 49 *et seq.* 5 U.S.C. 301 *et seq.*

#### **§ 658.600 Scope and purpose of subpart.**

This subpart sets forth the regulations governing review and assessment of State agency compliance with the Job Service regulations at 20 CFR parts 601, 602, 603, 604, 620, 621, 651-658 and 29 CFR part 8. All recordkeeping and reporting requirements contained in parts 653 and 658 have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Federal Reports Act of 1942.

#### **§ 658.601 State agency responsibility.**

(a) Each State agency shall establish and maintain a self-appraisal system for job service operations to determine success in reaching goals and to correct deficiencies in performance. The self-appraisal system shall include numerical (quantitative) appraisal and non-numerical (qualitative) appraisal.

(1) Numerical appraisal at the local office level shall be conducted as follows:

(i) Performance shall be measured on a quarterly-basis against planned service levels as stated in the State Program and Budget Plan (PBP). The State plan shall be consistent with numerical goals contained in local office plans.

(ii) To appraise numerical activities/indicators, actual results as shown on the Employment Security Automated Reporting System (ESARS) tables and Cost Accounting Reports shall be compared to planned levels. Variances between achievement and plan shall be identified.

(iii) When the numerical appraisal of required activities/indicators identifies significant variances from planned levels, additional analysis shall be conducted to isolate possible contributing factors. This data analysis shall in-

clude, as appropriate, comparisons to past performance, attainment of PBP goals and consideration of pertinent non-numerical factors.

(iv) Results of local office numerical reviews shall be documented and significant deficiencies identified. A corrective action plan as described in paragraph (a)(6) shall be developed to address these deficiencies.

(v) The result of local office appraisal, including corrective action plans, shall be communicated in writing to the next higher level of authority for review. This review shall cover adequacy of analysis, appropriateness of corrective actions, and need for higher level involvement. When this review is conducted at an area or district office, a report describing local office performance within the area or district jurisdiction shall be communicated to the central office on a quarterly basis.

(2) Numerical appraisal at the central office level shall be conducted as follows:

(i) Performance shall be measured on a quarterly basis against planned service levels as stated in the State Program and Budget Plan (PBP). The State plan shall be consistent with numerical goals contained in local office plans.

(ii) To appraise these key numerical activities/indicators, actual results as shown on the Employment Security Automated Reporting System (ESARS) tables and Cost Accounting Reports shall be compared to planned levels. Variances between achievement and plan shall be identified.

(iii) The central office shall review Statewide data, and performance against planned service levels as stated in the State Program and Budget Plan (PBP) on at least a quarterly basis to identify significant Statewide deficiencies and to determine the need for additional analysis, including identification of trends, comparisons to past performance, and attainment of PBP goals.

(iv) Results of numerical reviews shall be documented and significant deficiencies identified. A corrective action plan as described in paragraph (a)(5) of this section shall be developed to address these deficiencies. These plans shall be submitted to the ETA